4

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

## DECLARATION OF ALAN JAY RICE

I, Alan Jay Rice, make the following statements based on my own personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances stated herein:

- 1. I am a long-time resident of the City of San Clemente. I have lived in my home, located at San Clemente, since 1968.
- The Pacesetter Development Company was the developer that built my home as 2. part of the residential development in the City known as Rancho Margarita. The completed Rancho Margarita homes were terraced at 20-foot intervals on a hillside overlooking the coastline.
- In the early 1970s, there was no development on the land located below Calle 3. Felicidad, where the Broadmoor community is now located. This land was previously an old landslide complex with coyotes, deer and other wildlife wandering over the open brush and hills.
- Because there was no development downhill, the homes on Calle Felicidad had an unobstructed, clear view of the ocean and coastline, including scenic views of the Dana Point Harbor and Catalina Island.
- Shortly after I purchased my home, Landmark Financial Group wanted to build two-story single-family residential homes on tracts located downhill from Calle Felicidad.
- 6. A group of neighbors on Calle Felicidad that were concerned with protecting the existing community from ill-planned developed formed a group known as the "Rancho Margarita Associates". The group's objective was to prevent any negative impacts of new development on their existing community.
- The Rancho Margarita Associates group became well known to City officials and included approximately 65 members, including myself, Susan P. Rice, William Kerns, Fred · Keeler, Frank and Maryanne Dreher, Richard and Karoline Koester, Bob and Jody Dilworth, Bob and Joan Kahrs, and Donald and Sally Sargent.
- 8. Our group of neighbors organized to file petitions and comment letters with the Planning Commission and City Council in order to express our concerns about development of the downhill tract.

- 9. Eventually, Landmark Financial Group lost its option to develop the property and Broadmoor acquired the option. Broadmoor subsequently began plans to seek approvals for development of the Broadmoor community, which would include 225 homes to be located on tracts downhill from Calle Felicidad.
- 10. I personally attended many Planning Commission and City Council meetings where City officials considered approvals for the Broadmoor community.
- During the period between late 1973 and early 1974, various Broadmoor officials tactfully approached every resident living on Calle Felicidad to explain their plans to build homes below Calle Felicidad.
- 12. The Broadmoor representatives included: Richard B. Smith (founder), Larry
  Lizotte (Senior Vice President), Dennis Bickler (Director of Property Acquisition and Assistant
  Division Manager), S. Reid Gustafson (Vice President and Division Manager), R.F. Osgood
  (Senior Vice President and Secretary), Don Corbin (architect at Morris & Lohrbach Architects),
  and John Hazeltine.
- 13. Broadmoor knew that it needed our support in order to get the City's approval for the Calle Delicada homes. There were several meetings between the Broadmoor officials and the Calle Felicidad homeowners, many of which took place in our homes. Through this series of meetings, many compromises were made to the satisfaction of Broadmoor and the Calle Felicidad residents.
- 14. We emphasized to Broadmoor that our existing ocean and coastal views were extremely valuable and needed to be preserved if any new homes were to be built.
- 15. One of the issues Broadmoor encountered was the narrow sizes of the proposed Calle Delicada lots. The dimensions of Broadmoor's original plans did not comply with the City's Code requirements.
- 16. Broadmoor sought a solution to the insufficient lot sizes on Calle Delicada by asking individual homeowners on Calle Felicidad to give up a portion of the hillside slopes on their properties, so that depth could be added to the Calle Delicada lots. This was to be accomplished by re-grading the slopes belonging to the Calle Felicidad Homeowners.

- 17. Broadmoor also sought to gain additional area for the lots by asking the Calle Felicidad homeowners to agree that a sidewalk that was supposed to be developed on Calle Delicada could be eliminated.
- 18. City staff did not support deleting the required sidewalk between Calle Felicidad and Calle Delicada.
- 19. Many of the Calle Felicidad homeowners submitted a petition to the City Council that allowed this sidewalk to be eliminated so Broadmoor could gain the land required to develop the 19 additional lots on Calle Delicada. The City agreed to allow the deletion in response to the Calle Felicidad homeowners' request.
- 20. In return, Broadmoor went out of their way to assure Calle Felicidad homeowners that our panoramic views would be preserved and protected despite the construction of the new downhill homes. We were repeatedly assured by Broadmoor that our views would not be obstructed or compromised in any way by the development of the Calle Delicada properties.
- 21. During the meetings with Broadmoor, the Calle Felicidad residents agreed to the following concessions in favor of Broadmoor:
  - i. Broadmoor could completely remove our rear slopes by shearing away all of the dirt embankment, thereby creating a 30 foot shear drop from our backyards. This was deemed to be a crucial element necessary to re-compact, solidify, and reconfigure the angle of our backyard slopes. Broadmoor had to do this in order to stabilize potential slippage zones they supposedly detected on our properties prior to cut and fill operations for the homes on Calle Delicada.
  - ii. We agreed to sign waivers for the City to eliminate the construction of a sidewalk at the foot of our slopes on Calle Delicada, which allowed Broadmoor to gain land it needed for the new lots to be approved.
- 22. In exchange for the concessions granted by the Calle Felicidad homeowners, there were two primary concessions granted and guaranteed by the Broadmoor officials:
  - There would never be any two-story homes built in the Broadmoor community that would interfere with the views of any Calle Felicidad resident.

- ii. There would never be any tree, bush, or other landscaping, or any structure which would block or inhibit the existing views of the Calle Felicidad residents. Any potential impediment would be limited to a maximum height of 17 feet on Calle Delicada with no exceptions.
- 23. Broadmoor entered into agreements with myself and other homeowners who provided authorization for Broadmoor to regrade our individual slope areas. This would allow Broadmoor to have additional area for the proposed lots on Calle Delicada because the work involved reconfiguring our existing slope into a steeper angle with a more abbreviated top.
- I entered into the attached agreement to authorize grading with Broadmoor on February 16, 1974.
- 25. The process to regrade our slopes was a significant undertaking that involved heavy earthmoving equipment and extensive construction work in our back yards. I took pictures to show the extent of the grading work, attached hereto.
- 26. In exchange for our cooperation, Broadmoor agreed that the height restrictions that were meant to protect our views would be included in a recorded declaration of covenants, conditions and restrictions (CC&Rs) that would be recorded against each of the 19 homes on Calle Delicada.
- 27. The City knew about, and was involved in, negotiations between Broadmoor and the Calle Felicidad homeowners, that resulted in Broadmoor's agreement to include a height limitation on the Calle Delicada homes. The City also imposed the height limitation as a condition of approval in connection with its approval of the Calle Delicada homes.
- 28. The restriction was intentionally included in the CC&Rs by Broadmoor as an additional assurance to Calle Felicidad residents that all trees would be maintained not to exceed 17 feet and any violations would be enforced by the Association created by Broadmoor.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

1	Executed on May (6, 2020, at San Clemente, California.
2	
3	alan Jus vice
4	Alan Jay Rice
5	
6	Sr. & Rrw. &jon J. Sice
7	Sil Colle Pulimided Ted Clements, California 122-75
8	tellureren.   Energence and delletication to Ferform Grading
9	Lot 15s, 17mm 1645
	Near Hr. 5 Nos. Rice.
10	Flow of all twould like by the the operatority to approve my
11	enginelation and There's to part apprentity during to the any year
12	the will be average at the their beautiful to a grant of metabolic
13	overstating to the to produce for the everall provides and sell as the gradus. The restriction transcribes when the contract of the contract o
14	Charge find exercised a way for view research of the fall of manneral translation and the fall of manneral translation and the fall of manneral translation and the fall of the fall of manneral translation and the fall of the fall of manneral translation and the fall of the fall of manneral translation and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fall of manneral translations and the fall of the fal
15	Should you have aim a created partaining to the prefer a fine
16	where near of the propagate state from the tenth to the state of
17	
18	Day Alasiy
19	DOAFNOR STARRE, THE
20	
21	menia H. Mickler antistara Division Manager
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	

DECLARATION OF ALAN JAY RICE