

From: Brad Malamud [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 5:22 PM

To: C. Jayden Smith <cjsmith@picketfencemedia.com>; Cabral, Victor <CabralV@san-clemente.org>; Duncan, Chris <DuncanC@san-clemente.org>; Enmeier, Mark <EnmeierM@san-clemente.org>; Hall, Andy <HallA@san-clemente.org>; Knoblock, Steve <KnoblockS@san-clemente.org>; Campagnolo, Laura <CampagnoloL@san-clemente.org>; Loeffler, Rick <LoefflerR@san-clemente.org>

Subject: Council meeting.

Item 9C

there are two issues with item 9C that should be addressed by council. First the term of 30 years has a cap of \$25,000 per month. You should not limit the raises based on CPI given the 30 year term of the contract. there is no explanation of why the first monthly lease should be reduced from 7% to 5% of gross sales. Unless there is an important and valid reason, the 7% of gross should not change.

Item 9D. As has been discussed multiple times recently, none of you were elected to give a sense of council on items such as this. The council should stay out of recommendations on pending legislation, except when such a result would have a dire effect on the city.

Finally, I find it a disturbing that most of the agenda reports I reviewed failed to list the person or person who drafted, edited or contributed to the agenda report instead listing the department they came from. This is not proper and does not allow the public to know who drafted the report. This is Conflict with the transparency. I would request the city manager, take it upon himself to inform staff that future agenda reports must include the name of the main author and any contributing authors or editors.

Brad Malamud
Sent from my gmail account: