

- Asked for clarification regarding the white valence shown on the tower as seen on the simulation attachment.
- Directed staff to include development standards in the Zoning Administrator report from the following sections of policy documents:
 - Chapter 17.84 - Signs
 - Design Guidelines – Wall Sign standards
- Stated the proposed light complies with all standards referenced by the subcommittee.
- Stated in Gateway locations, pin-mounted signs are preferred.
- Stated the overhead light would bring out the oval shape of the recess element.
- Requested the applicant to revise the simulation to be consistent with the plan drawings.
- Stated the elimination of the LED strip from the tower is supported by the subcommittee.

The Subcommittee concurred with staff's recommendations, provided additional input to the applicant and staff, and recommended the item be forwarded to the Zoning Administrator for review.

Conditional Use Permit 18-529 / 18-530 / 18-531 / 18-532 / 18-533 / 18-534 / 18-535 / 18-536 / 18-537 / 18-538 / 18-540 / 18-541 / 18-543, AT&T Small Cells in Right-of-Way (Crockett)

A request to construct 13 "small cell" wireless telecommunications facilities on street lights and utility poles within the public right-of-way at 13 locations throughout the City

Associate Planner Katie Crockett summarized the staff report. The applicant, Franklin Orozco, and engineer, Calvin Gough, were present for questions.

The Design Review Subcommittee (DRSC) discussed the project, and made the following comments either individually or as a group:

- Recommended that MSVJO_010 be relocated to a streetlight (Type 1 design), especially given that this is a Gateway location. The Applicant indicated there was an alternative streetlight location that would work for them.
- Recommended relocating MSVJO_020 and MSVJO_022 to streetlights (Type 1). The Applicant stated that they would look into this option but in at least one location, there may not be a suitable alternative streetlight location available.
- Recommended, at a minimum, for MSVJO_020 to keep the pole steel, as opposed to replacing with wood. Subcommittee members expressed concern over any degradation in aesthetics of this location due to the residential area.

- Noted inconsistencies between how Type 2 installations were shown on the plans and the photo simulations.
- Recommended for Type 2 installations that cannot be relocated to Type 1 installations moving the antenna to the top of the pole and mounting the radios and other equipment closer to the pole.
- Inquired about maintaining a tapered pole design, understanding that the antenna and equipment at the top would be wider than the top of the pole. The Subcommittee members did not come to a consensus regarding this option and expressed a desire to see this brought back as an option for further DRSC review.

The Subcommittee concurred with staff's recommendations, provided additional input and recommendations to the applicant and staff, and recommended that the applicant return to the DRSC with more information on the tapered pole design option prior to proceeding to Planning Commission for review.

3. NEW BUSINESS

None

4. OLD BUSINESS

None

ADJOURNMENT


Adjourn to the Regular Meeting of the Design Review Subcommittee to be held Wednesday, October 10, 2018 at 3:00 p.m., at the Community Development Department, Conference Room A, located at 910 Calle Negocio, Suite 100, San Clemente, California.

Respectfully submitted,



Jim Ruehlin, Chair

Attest:



Stephanie Roxas, Senior Planner

- Expressed a preference for the seat style used in Figure 1 of the staff report as opposed to the seating style used in the photo exhibit.
- Directed staff to discuss with GPA Consulting whether the project would negatively impact the historic resource's status or classification given the project's location and non-historic character of the amphitheater.
- Requested staff to include a larger rendering of the proposed seats in future reports to demonstrate the final proposed appearance of all terraced rows filled with seats.
- Requested clarification from staff regarding required findings and consistency with Secretary of Interior and City standards.
- Noted that the consultant report recommended wood or metal seating, but that the report also encouraged choosing a material that provides suitable longevity.
- Discussed the nature of the seating being fixed but removable and whether that would affect the City's evaluation of the project.
- Commented that there may be insufficient space available on site to store temporary seating.

Subcommittee Member Talley excused himself at the end of the item's discussion due to an excused scheduling conflict.

The Subcommittee directed staff to discuss its comments with this City's historic preservation consultant. The Subcommittee recommended staff use its discretion, depending on whether the project and/or GPA Consulting's report is revised, to determine whether to schedule a second DRSC review of the project, or proceed to a Zoning Administrator public hearing for consideration.

3. **NEW BUSINESS**

None

4. **OLD BUSINESS**

Conditional Use Permits 18-529 / 18-530 / 18-531 / 18-532 / 18-533 / 18-534 / 18-535 / 18-536 / 18-537 / 18-538 / 18-540 / 18-541 / 18-543, AT&T Small Cells in Right-of-Way (Crockett)

Review of design alternatives for a request to construct 13 "small cells" on street lights and utility poles within the public right-of-way at 13 locations throughout the City, previously reviewed by the DRSC.

Associate Planner Katie Crockett summarized the staff report. The applicant team, Franklin Orozco, Rickard Soderberg, and Calvin Gough, was present for questions.

The Design Review Subcommittee (DRSC) discussed the project, and made the following comments either individually or as a group:

- Requested clarification from staff regarding the application's status and whether proposed locations have been reviewed by Engineering staff for potential line-of-sight issues regarding micro sites (due to the larger base).
- Requested clarification and discussed designs proposed at specific locations, especially as it relates to potential aesthetic impacts to nearby residential uses.
- Discussed the proposed Type 2 micro site facility at 260 W. Escalones (CUP 18-536). Requested clarification on the design from the applicant and recommended staff review above-ground poles in the neighborhood to determine an appropriate facility design.
- Discussed the merits of the tapered and non-tapered designs for Type 1 (concrete light pole) installations and recommended selecting a design based on the location and existing surrounding conditions (i.e., presence of and design of other poles in the vicinity).
- Discussed proposed designs for Type 2 (wood utility pole) installations and expressed preference for the antenna to be painted brown, removal of the extension arms, and mounting radios close to the pole.

The Subcommittee recommended the proposed facilities using the Type 1 and Type 2 installation designs proceed to a Planning Commission hearing for consideration after incorporation of DRSC recommendations. The Subcommittee did not reach a consensus on the proposed micro site design given the limited information available and recommended staff use its discretion to determine whether to schedule an additional DRSC review, or proceed to a Planning Commission hearing.

5. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

None

ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn to the Regular Meeting of the Design Review Subcommittee to be held Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 3:00 p.m., at the Community Development Department, Conference Room A, located at 910 Calle Negocio, San Clemente, California.