

DATE	COMMENT	RESPONSE/ACTION
10/24/17	Previous discussion regarding beach trail lighting included that the addition of lighting may invite unwanted late evening use to an area.	Comment – no action to be taken.
11/14/17	Previous discussion regarding beach trail lights included that lights may bring unwanted evening use to an area.	Comment – no action to be taken.
12/12/17	6.4.1: Adding lighting on the Beach Trail may bring unwanted evening use, and may decrease safety, and disrupt the view. The words “including on the Beach Trail” should be removed.	6.4.1 – verbiage removed.
10/24/17	Action sports, particularly skateboarding and surfing, should be represented in the participation statistics.	There is not an accurate MPI for the activities of skateboarding and surfing. A qualifying statement is included.
10/24/17	National statistics and data should not be featured.	National Trends were moved to Appendix B
10/24/17	Local market trends should include action sports information.	There is not an accurate MPI for the activities of skateboarding and surfing. A qualifying statement is included.
11/14/17	Local trends do not reflect action sports or beach activities.	There is not an accurate MPI for the activities of skateboarding and surfing. A qualifying statement is included.
12/12/17	4.2.3: A qualifying statement regarding availability of local market trend data should be included.	4.2.3 – verbiage added.
12/12/17	Trend analysis should be removed.	This is a component included in the original RFP.
10/24/17	Beach facilities should be given greater recognition in the Plan, potentially with a section specifically for beaches.	No action taken.
11/14/17	The beach should be listed as a park.	There is no level of service standard for beach space, unlike park space; cannot qualify amenities and access like that of a park.
11/14/17	Sand erosion could decrease future beach use and needs to be considered.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	6.4.1: Beaches and access to beaches should be included.	No action taken. Contradictory of statement below.
12/12/17	6.4.1: All reference to beaches should be removed.	No action taken. Contradictory of statement above.
12/12/17	A study to address trails, beaches, and open space should be recommended.	6.4.1 – complete.
1/9/18	“Beaches” should be removed from the title of the document as they are not adequately addressed.	Title of the Plan reflects the intent of the original RFP; changing the title would potentially negate any future chance of receiving grant funds because it would be different from the RFP.
1/9/18	Beaches were not recognized in the Plan as an important part of recreation.	Comment – no action can be taken.
1/9/18	The executive summary should include a statement acknowledging beaches are not addressed.	No action taken. Executive summary prepare PROS Consulting based on RFP and project objectives.

1/9/18	In 6.4.1, reference to a future beach related master plan should be a separate bullet point.	Complete. Note, beach plan was combined with trail plan based on prior BPR Commission recommendation.
1/9/18	Recommendation for a beach master plan should not be limited to beach front and activating elements on it, and should include broader beach dynamics.	Comment – no action can be taken. Will be considered through any future
1/9/18	Beaches need to be addressed in greater detail.	Comment – no action can be taken.
1/9/18	Sand replenishment on the beach is a higher priority for beach volleyball.	Comment – no action can be taken.
1/9/18	Eliminate the word “Beaches” from the front of the document.	The title is based on the original RFP
2/13/18	6.4.1 There should be a separate bullet point for a beaches master plan.	Complete.
2/13/18	The executive summary should include why beaches are omitted.	Complete.
10/24/17	Site specific recommendations are not included.	Comment – no action can be taken.
10/24/17	Including site opportunities is desired.	Comment – no action can be taken.
10/24/17	Off-leash options and dog beach options should be listed separately.	6.4.1 – “Explore options for off-leash dog opportunities and/or beach access Identify dedicated areas or select times / days for access”
11/14/17	Off-leash dog access should be included.	See 6.4.1 – complete.
11/14/17	Dog beach would not require additional funds.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	5.2.1: “Good to Excellent” condition should be modified to “Fair to Poor.”	Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.1: A reference to expanding or improving existing facilities should be added.	Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.1: The small dog area should be listed as Fair and the large dog section listed as Poor.	Complete.
10/24/17	The possibility of adding another skate park should be included.	Skateparks are considered in the CIP Action Plan.
11/14/17	Additional skatepark(s) should be included.	Skateparks are considered in the CIP Action Plan.
11/14/17	Skate spots could be added to existing parks.	BPR Commission recommendations added to several sites in 5.2.
11/14/17	A BMX course/pump track could be mixed use with a skateboarding facility.	Comment – no action can be taken.
11/14/17	A fence at the skatepark is not needed.	Comment – no action can be taken. Fencing is required around the skate court, per City’s insurance agency.
11/14/17	Increasing skateboarding and action sports opportunities should be included.	Complete. CIP Action Plan Short Term.
11/14/17	Skateboarding facilities with an entrance fee could pay for themselves.	Comment – no action can be taken.

11/14/17	Many parks have low usage except the skatepark.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	Future repairs to the skatepark should be made by a skatepark company.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	Renderings presented by the Skatepark Coalition should be presented with the Plan to City Council.	Renderings for any future projects will be submitted to City Council when the project goes for review.
10/24/17	Hispanic population is not well represented in the statistically valid survey results.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	2.1: There is disagreement with the verbiage “The analysis is reflective of the total population” as it applies to the survey.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	3.1: Verbiage should be added to state “part of the community” and “some key stakeholders”, and include three primary questions were asked “in English.”	Comment – no action can be taken. Workshops were open to all community members and a workshop was held in Spanish at Max Berg Plaza Park.
10/24/17	Communication of the value of services to the public is important.	Comment – no action can be taken.
10/24/17	The city is near build out which will limit the population growth.	Comment – no action can be taken.
10/24/17	The Plan should empower the creation of site specific master plans.	6.4.1 – complete.
10/24/17	More specifics about costs of recommended items is desired.	CIP Action Plan provided.
10/24/17	A list of edits/red-line of the current Plan draft is desired for the next meeting.	No action taken. Documents was edited and returned with changes.
11/14/17	Community workshops should be weighted more heavily.	Comment – no action can be taken. All data collected is taken into consideration based on PROS recommendation.
11/14/17	Existing parks are great but underused.	Comment – no action can be taken.
11/14/17	Information regarding why people did not feel safe should be included.	No data available.
11/14/17	The Planning Division should be included to identify possible amenity development sites.	Planning will be consulted on all possible projects if/when they are addressed.
11/14/17	Increasing and enhancing walking trails should be included.	6.4.1 “Explore options to increase and enhance walking trails and trail connectivity”
11/14/17	Existing parks can be identified for mixed uses.	Comment – no action can be taken.
11/14/17	It would be beneficial to review the document line by line.	Comment – no action can be taken.
11/14/17	Public outreach regarding the meeting is important.	A notice to all interested parties is released with every meeting notice.
12/12/17	Commercialization or fee based use of facilities should be considered.	Comment – no action can be taken; contradictory of below statement.
12/12/17	Existing public facilities should not be converted to fee based use.	Comment – no action can be taken; contradictory of prior statement.
11/14/17	Pickleball ranked low in surveys.	Comment – no action can be taken.

11/14/17	Pickleball activity could occur on shared space.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	The conversion of tennis courts for pickleball use should be explored.	This option will be explored after Plan approval and understanding feasibility of projects.
12/12/17	Pickleball did not rank high in survey results.	Comment – no action can be taken.
12/12/17	A tennis court at San Luis Rey could be converted for pickleball use.	Comment – no action taken. Will be addressed through future San Luis Rey site study.
2/13/18	Add verbiage to “Explore the possibility of adding a Pickleball court to Liberty Park (5.2.7), Verde Park (5.2.21), San Gorgonio Park (5.2.18), and San Luis Rey Park (5.2.19).	No action taken – will be included in agenda report for City Council as recommendation by the BPR Commission.
12/12/17	5.2.2 (Bonito Canyon): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.4 (Forster Ranch): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.4 (Forster Ranch): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.4(Forster Ranch): Add to explore the possibility of replacing volleyball court turf with sand.	5.2.4 – Complete.
12/12/17	Forster Ranch tennis court drainage should be evaluated.	Staff will address outside of Master Plan.
1/9/18	Volleyball courts at Forster Ranch are not frequently used.	Comment – no action taken. BPR Commission recommended converting to sand
12/12/17	5.2.6 (Leslie): ADA accessibility would be difficult at Leslie Park.	No action taken – ADA accessibility will always be addressed through improvements.
12/12/17	5.2.8 (Linda Lane): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.9 (Marblehead): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	5.2.9 – Complete.
1/9/18	ADA access from parking lot at Marblehead Park was recommended to be removed.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting
12/12/17	5.2.10 (Max Berg Plaza): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	5.2.10 – Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.13 (Pico): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	5.2.13 – Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.14 (Skate Court): Add to expand, increase, and/or improve skateboarding amenities/facilities.	5.2.14 – Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.17 (San Gorgonio): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.

12/12/17	5.2.17 (San Gorgonio): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
1/9/18	San Gorgonio would be a preferred location for a skateboarding amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations. Can be considered through future site study.
12/12/17	5.2.18 (San Luis Rey): Add to explore the possibility of a shared multi-use space.	This will be explored through a San Luis Rey Park site study, after Plan approval.
12/12/17	The lawn bowling area are could be considered for off-leash dog use.	Comment – no action can be taken. The lawn bowling facility is noted in 5.2.18. Will be addressed after Plan approval.
12/12/17	5.2.18 (San Luis Rey): The existing verbiage is sufficient.	Comment – no action can be taken.
1/9/18	The San Luis Rey evaluation should make specific reference to the lawn bowling facility being in disrepair.	Complete.
1/9/18	San Luis Rey Park does not mention analysis or repair of lawn bowling facility.	5.2.18 – Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.20 (Tierra Grande): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.21 (Verde Park): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	Not recommended by PROS Consulting due to square footage limitations.
12/12/17	5.2.24 (Vista Hermosa): Add to explore the possibility of adding a skateboarding amenity.	5.2.24 – Complete.
12/12/17	5.2.24 (Vista Hermosa): Add to explore the possibility of adding an off-leash dog amenity.	5.2.24 – Complete.
12/12/17	Mountain bike access to trails should be explored in south San Clemente and could be added in section 6.4.1.	Trail connectivity and access is addressed through 6.4.1.
12/12/17	6.4.1: Reference to maintaining open space should be included.	3.2.8 – Open space is identified as a high priority through the surveys and workshops.
11/14/17	Prioritize the installation of a restroom at Linda Lane Park to “Short Term 0-2 Years”.	Complete.
11/14/17	Prioritize infill replacement at all synthetic fields at Vista Hermosa Sports Park to “Short Term 0-2 Years” by moving line 22 to short term and combining it with line 38 to include all Vista Hermosa synthetic turf fields replacement.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 1: Verbiage should be changed to “improve off-leash dog access areas and equipment.”	No action taken – “install equipment” will improve off-leash options and equipment. This is also addressed in CIP Short Term 3
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 2: Move to bottom.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 3: Move to Mid Term.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 6: Move to Mid Term.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 7 & 8: Move to bottom.	Complete.

12/12/17	CIP Short Term 14 & 15: Move to Mid Term.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 17: Move to #5.	Moved to CIP Short Term #20, based on later discussions at meeting.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 18: Verbiage should be changed to "Evaluate exercise stations at Rancho San Clemente Park" and moved to Mid Term.	Complete. Moved to CIP Mid-Term #28, based on later Commission discussion.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 20: Move to Mid Term.	No action taken – against recommendation based on existing condition of bleachers.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 24: Move to Mid Term.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 38: Move to top 10.	Complete.
12/12/17	CIP Short Term 40: Move to top 10.	Moved to #11 – too many other items in top 10.
12/12/17	CIP Mid Term 4: Remove.	No action taken – ADA accessibility will always be addressed through improvements.
12/12/17	CIP Mid Term 8: Remove.	Complete.
12/12/17	Modify verbiage of #17 on the CIP Action Plan to "expand, increase, and/or improve skateboarding amenities/facilities."	See CIP Short Term #4: The word "construct" will give options to do any of these suggestions after Plan approval.
1/9/18	CIP Short Term #3: Should be reworded to "improve and develop off leash options for dogs."	The word "expand" seeks to leave options available when off leash dog options are addressed after Plan approval.
1/9/18	Parks CIP estimated costs should be divided among all proposed amenity sites.	N/A due to removal of Attachment 3
1/9/18	CIP Mid Term 30 should be combined with Short Term 4 regarding skateboarding amenities.	This is based on funding limitations, but can be considered annually through budget and CIP.
12/12/17	Cover Page: City seal should be round and photos replaced.	Complete.
12/12/17	4.2.4: Photo should be updated to reflect San Clemente.	Complete.
2/13/18	The City seal should be round, not oval.	Complete.
12/12/17	3.1.1: Stakeholder interviews should list the names of stakeholders.	Stakeholders who participated in the process are listed in the acknowledgements pg. i – all other participants are considered members of the public and remain anonymous.
1/9/18	Square footage of proposed amenities was never discussed.	Removed.
1/9/18	All changes recommended at the last meeting should be resubmitted.	Comment – no action can be taken.
1/9/18	There is a numerical error on page 87 in regards to sand volleyball courts.	4.3 – quantity adjusted.
1/9/18	Small projects of the same type could be combined to reduce costs.	After approval of the Master Plan, projects will be addressed through the regular budget process and CIP, any projects with the potential to be combined will be reviewed based on financial and logistical feasibility – this action will not be reflected in the Master Plan.
1/9/18	Attachment 3 should be removed from the Plan.	Complete.

2/13/18	An appendix describing the Commission's frustration with the process and the final document should be included.	This type of document will not be included in the PROS Consulting report. BPR Commission can take its own action on how/when this should be addressed, if desired.
2/13/18	The sentence in the agenda report beginning "This plan is not site specific" should be included in the Plan.	Keys goals are addressed 1.1 – see #5.
1/9/18	Have everything unanimously voted on at the previous meeting resubmitted to be included.	All items were resubmitted to PROS for consideration.
1/9/18	Strike Attachment 3 from the official master plan, and treat it as informative only.	Complete.
2/13/18	The proposed changes and why they were not made should be listed.	Complete.
2/13/18	An attachment compiling suggested modifications should be included.	Complete – will be reviewed at special meeting 2/27/18