

AGENDA FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE COASTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA

San Clemente Community Center Ole Hanson Fireside Room 100 North Calle Seville San Clemente, California April 10, 2014 7:00 p.m.

RULES FOR ADDRESSING THE COMMITTEE

Members of the audience who wish to address the Committee are requested to limit discussions or questions to three minutes.

Please note: Written material distributed to the Coastal Advisory Committee, after the original agenda packet was distributed, is available for public inspection in the Environmental Programs office located at 910 Calle Negocio, San Clemente, during normal business hours.

- 1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. March 13, 2014

Members of the audience who wish to address the Committee on matters of public interest pertaining to the City may step to the podium, state their name and the City in which they reside, and make their presentation. Please limit presentations to three minutes. Since the Committee cannot discuss or take action on matters not on the agenda, items of concern which are not urgent in nature can be resolved more expeditiously by completing and submitting a written request to the Engineering Division, Environmental Programs Section.

For matters on the agenda, public comments will be received at the time that the Coastal Advisory Committee considers the agenda item.

4. PUBLIC INPUT

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons with a disability who require a disability-related modification or accommodation in order to participate in a meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, may request such modification or accommodation from the Environmental Programs Assistant at (949) 361-6143. Notification 24 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to assure accessibility to the meeting.

5. OLD BUSINESS – None.

6. **NEW BUSINESS**

A. <u>Sand Replenishment Update</u>

A memo by Tom Bonigut, Assistant City Engineer, with an update on the Sand Replenishment project.

Staff Recommendation: Information and discussion item

B. <u>Update on Plastic Bag Bans</u>

A memo by Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst, with an update on plastic bag bans in California.

Staff Recommendation: Information and discussion item

C. <u>Update on Clean Ocean Marketing Campaign</u>

A presentation by Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst, with an update on the clean ocean marketing campaign.

Staff Recommendation: Information and discussion item

7. COMMUNICATIONS

A. <u>Bacteriological Monitoring Report – Date of Posted Report 3/5/14</u>

Information item – Receive and File

B. <u>March 2014 Environmental Programs Update</u>

A summary of recent activities conducted by the City related to runoff/surface water quality and solid waste/recycling.

Information item - Receive and File

8. ITEMS FROM STAFF

A. <u>Potential Future Agenda Items</u>

A memo by Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst, on scheduling of potential future Coastal Advisory Committee agenda items.

Staff Recommendation: Information and Discussion Item.

9. ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

10. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn the meeting to the next regular meeting of the Coastal Advisory Committee on Thursday, May 8, 2014 at 7:00 P.M., in the Fireside Room, at the Community Center, 100 N. Seville, San Clemente, California.

3.A.

MINUTES CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE REGULAR COASTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, March 13, 2014 @ 7:00 p.m. Community Center, Ole Hanson Fireside Room 100 N. Seville, San Clemente, CA 92672

1. CALL TO ORDER/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Hart called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Committee Member Brown led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: Susan Ambrose, Don Brown, Richard Dickey, and Michael

Smith; Chairman Bill Hart

Absent: Ken Nielsen, Chair pro tem Peter Salgado

Staff Present: Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst

Eileen White, Recording Secretary

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes of the Regular CAC Meeting of February 13, 2014

IT WAS MOVED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER AMBROSE, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER DICKEY, AND CARRIED 4-0-1, WITH COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN ABSTAINING, to receive and file the minutes of the regular meeting of February 13, 2014, as presented by staff.

- 5. **PUBLIC INPUT** None
- 6. OLD BUSINESS None

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Poche Action Plan Update

Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst, provided an update of the Action Plan; announced Poche Beach has an average "A" rating; reviewed the Tentative Poche Action Plan Timeline provided in response to a request at the last CAC meeting. Staff recommended the Commission receive and file the report.

Committee Member Smith thanked staff for providing the requested information; noted he has many questions about the Action Plan and requested a workshop with staff and any other interested Committee Members. He wants to be proactive about Poche Beach and share ideas with staff; speculated Committee Member Dickey may also be interested in a workshop with staff; acknowledged his questions were not in writing.

Committee Member Brown encouraged Committee Member Smith, as a standard procedure, to jot down his questions and email them to staff in advance of meetings so that staff can have the requested information and/or experts in attendance to address the Committee. He suggested Committee Member Smith engage in written communications with staff regarding the Poche Beach Action Plan, and then bring back comments and suggestions at the next CAC meeting.

Committee Member Smith stated that he preferred a discussion format; suggested the Committee consider establishing subcommittees, like the Beaches, Parks, and Recreation Commission does, to address certain topics. He envisions need to discuss project details, methodology, and objectives to accomplish, which would consist of many large and small tasks, as well as establishment of a flow chart to ensure the expected tasks happen on schedule. He mentioned the Action Plan at the recent Beaches, Parks, and Recreation Commission meeting to positive feedback and believes many residents would also be very interested in the program.

Chair Hart supported discussion of a potential subcommittee, with the objective of going through issues in detail, establishing options, rejecting infeasible options, and then bringing feasible options back for a full CAC discussion. He encouraged Committee Member Smith to write down his major concerns in advance to ensure most efficient use of staff's time. Because Poche Beach generates a lot of attention in the community, he suggested this may be a way to show the public that the CAC is working on solutions, and suggested inviting the adjacent neighborhood and other interested public to attend the meeting when the subcommittee's results are discussed. He asked if there would be Committee Members willing to serve on a subcommittee.

Committee Member Ambrose stated that she would be interested in serving on a subcommittee. In addition, she speculated that Committee Member Nielsen and Chair pro tem Salgado, who were unable to attend tonight's meeting, may also be interested on serving on a subcommittee. She agreed there may be much interest in the near and adjacent communities in this topic and that it would provide positive public outreach. She endorsed Committee Member Smith putting his thoughts in writing to ensure staff is equipped with the needed information and/or has the requested expert opinions available.

Chair Hart suggested this discussion be continued in April but not acted upon until the May meeting so that a) issues could be submitted in writing to understand the focus, final output and deliverables and b) all Committee Members, including those absent this evening, have the opportunity to make a formal recommendation on the need for a subcommittee. In the meantime, he endorsed Committee Member Smith meeting with staff for an information session to fully vet the issues and determine the best course of action moving forward. He cautioned that only three members could meet at once to avoid a Brown Act violation.

Senior Management Analyst Vondrak advised that Chair pro tem Salgado has indicated he is unable to attend the April CAC meeting as well.

Committee Member Dickey expressed interest in joining Committee Member Smith to attend an information session with staff.

Committee Member Ambrose also expressed interest in attending information sessions with staff/serving on the subcommittee; suggested this agenda item be continued to the May meeting so that a full CAC is present and able to discuss potential and framework of subcommittee; agreed that Committee Member Smith should submit his questions in writing so the rest of the CAC can better understand his concerns.

Chair Hart encouraged those with questions and/or interested in additional information to meet with/email Senior Management Analyst Vondrak for answers; continued this agenda item to the April meeting for additional discussion; agreed discussion of potential of establishing a subcommittee should be continued to the May CAC meeting to allow questions/concerns to be identified and allow a full CAC in attendance.

Report received and filed. Staff to bring back additional update at the Committee's next regular meeting.

B. San Clemente Beach Trail Update

In response to questions asked by the Committee Members during last meeting's update, Senior Management Analyst Vondrak advised that surveys were performed by volunteers that stood near the pier; Calafia Beach Parking Lot was not included in the survey perhaps due to oversight; referred to the Management Plan and Summary of Survey Results included in the staff report; advised the Management Plan will be reviewed and updated periodically; recommended the Committee receive and file the report.

Chair Hart reported that it was decided during the planning process to construct the trail with soft surface materials that require more maintenance but are much less expensive to install/maintain, natural landscaping, and tile designs. The City has Kathryn Stovall-Dennis, the late Stephanie Dorey, and

others to thank for their hard work. These smart decisions are reflected in the Management Program, and contributed to the popularity of the trail. In addition to excellent planning, the City has done a great job responding to the needs of people as the trail has evolved; it is the City's most single used recreation amenity and a treasure for residents and visitors alike. Some of the challenges attached with the trail include dog waste, graffiti, and rusted elements.

Senior Management Analyst Vondrak advised homeless encampments have recently been reported. She noted the City has an established reporting hot line so that complaints/comments can be promptly addressed.

Committee Member Ambrose commented that it is important for the CAC to stay aware and informed of any issues related to the Beach Trail as it is an important and well loved amenity; suggested all CAC members walk the trail and make observations monthly. The Derail the Trail group worked really hard to form the trail into the enjoyable resource that it is; it's important that it is closely monitored to make sure it stays that way.

Committee Member Brown commented that he jogs the trail 3-4 times a week. In general he finds the trail well maintained especially when considering the large amount of traffic; noted graffiti is quickly addressed; encouraged all to be guardians of the trail and report incidents when noticed. The City will be soon approaching the State Park in its efforts to extend the trail to the City's southern boundary.

Report received and filed.

C. San Clemente Earth Day

Senior Management Analyst Vondrak provided an oral report on the City's involvement in Earth Day festivities; encouraged the CAC members to volunteer to help in the City's Environmental Programs booth.

Referring to an interview with Mayor Donchak where she mentioned the CAC involvement with Earth Day, Committee Member Ambrose suggested the Committee consider ways to help out with the festivities.

Chair Hart advised that many volunteers are needed; noted anyone showing up and volunteering will be put to work; encouraged the Committee Members to attend, wear their CAC badges, and provide community interaction with Earth Day attendees. In addition he advised that Edison would not be providing their exhibit booth this year; noted the Ocean Institute is considering sending a van with a dynamic sea life exhibit this year that will add much to the event.

In response to a suggestion from Committee Member Ambrose that staff set up an avenue for the public to view the Wheeler North Reef Around Town episode, Senior Management Analyst Vondrak advised that past attempts to set up screens outdoors have experienced challenges which include: limited electricity to power equipment, glare on display screens, and ability to hear due to ambient noise and the band. An attempt in the past to set up a laptop to gather information at the event was not successful.

Chair Hart commended Committee Member Ambrose for the idea; noted many informative videos are available on line via the City's website.

Report received and filed.

8. COMMUNICATIONS

- A. <u>Bacterial Monitoring Report Dated March 13, 2014</u>
- B. <u>February 2014 Environmental Programs Update</u>

Committee Members received and filed items 7A and 7B.

In response to a comment from Committee Member Brown regarding the delay of some of the items on the Environmental Programs Update, Ms. Vondrak speculated it may be due to contractor reporting and agreed to research and report back.

9. ITEMS FROM STAFF

A. <u>Potential Future Agenda Items</u>

Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst, reviewed the Potential Future Agenda Items and requested the Committee Members provide input. Committee Members added Poche Action Plan Update to both the April and May agendas; endorsed the future agenda items as presented by staff.

Committee Member Brown commented that there may be a delay with the Review of Coastal Element and Associated Implementation tentatively scheduled on the June agenda due to environmental related issues with the City's existing canyon survey as speculated by one of the consultants being considered. In addition, there are applications for grants that remain outstanding. In any event, it will be good to receive an update on the Coastal Element at the June meeting.

Report received and filed.

B. Staff Announcements

Senior Management Analyst Vondrak distributed copies of the water bill insert referencing the City's participation in the Overwateringisout.org campaign promoting water efficient devices on one side and promoting events to hear garden survival tips during the drought on the other.

10. ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Committee Member Smith reported that at the most recent Beaches, Parks and Recreation Commission meeting, the Commission reviewed preliminary plans to improve beach access at El Portal; endorsed interim use of the old skeet range as a fenced-in dog park; heard report from staff regarding efforts to expand the City's smoking bans to include other drug use and e-cigarette usage; recommended City Council consider leasing City-owned property near the municipal golf course to applicant building an event center for purposes of increasing parking availability. In addition he announced that he had been appointed to the Tribute Program Subcommittee and invited them to save the date for a public workshop tentatively scheduled for April; commended staff for the recreation magazine improvements; distributed information regarding increase in stingray population scheduled for discussion at June CAC meeting.

Committee Member Ambrose invited all to participate in the shredding event on March 29.

Committee Member Brown reported that at their last study session, the Planning Commission heard a report on the City's efforts to comply with SB 2 and establish a zone where a emergency homeless shelter can be established by right; listed the many topics upcoming before the Commission, including the LCP update, General Plan Implementation Measures' prioritization, and updates to the various specific plans.

Chair Hart encouraged CAC attendance at the City's Springtacular scheduled for Saturday, April 19 at the Vista Hermosa Sports Park; thanked the CAC members for appointing him to represent this Committee on GPAC as it had been a very rewarding experience for him; invited all to attend Earth Day festivities at Parque del Mar on April 26; recommended all CAC members consider signing up for the City's Citizen's Academy as he has found the meetings/outings informative and interesting.

11. ADJOURNMENT

MOVED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER DICKEY, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER BROWN, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED to adjourn at 8:38 p.m. to the Regular Meeting for the Coastal Advisory Committee to be held on Thursday, April 10, 2014, at 7:00 p.m. in the Fireside Room, at the Community Center, 100 N. Seville, San Clemente, California.

Respectfully submitted,
Bill Hart, Chair
Attest:
Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst



Memorandum Engineering Division

April 4, 2014

To: Coastal Advisory Committee (CAC) From Tom Bonigut, Assistant City Engineer

Subject: Project Update: Beach Sand Replenishment Project

Per the CAC's request, this memo provides an update on the Beach Sand Replenishment Project, including brief summary of the proposed project, current status and anticipated next steps, and funding overview.

Proposed Project

The project which was approved by the City and U.S. Army Corps of Engineer (Corps) consists of placing 251,000 cubic yards of sand along the City's shoreline from Linda Lane to South T-Street beaches (a distance of about 3,400 feet). This would widen the beach by 50 feet. The sand would be obtained from a borrow site offshore of Oceanside and transported by barge to the City's beach. The Corps predicts that the newly-placed sand would last about six years, at which time another beach nourishment would be needed to maintain the widened beach width. This cycle would continue for the 50-year project life, although the City would not be committed to conducting future beach nourishment cycles if it chose not to (e.g. for budgetary or other reasons).

Current Status and Next Steps

To be eligible for Federal funding assistance for construction, the project must first be authorized in a Federal spending bill (in this case the Water Resources Development Act, or WRDA) and then be included in a subsequent Federal budget for funding. Both the Corps and the President's Office and Management and Budget (OMB) have transmitted the recommended project to Congress for authorization in the next WRDA bill. The City's Federal lobbyist, Marlowe & Company, has developed proposed language and confirmed that it has been included in both the draft WRDA bills prepared by the U.S. Senate and U.S. House of Representatives. Congress is now in a process to reconcile the two draft versions into a unified WRDA bill for approval by Congress and the President. This is expected to occur in the next month or two.

Approval of the WRDA bill will make the beach sand replenishment project eligible for Federal funding assistance, but will not itself provide Federal funds. That would occur through the Federal budget process in which the Corps is allocated funding appropriations. A potential funding complication is that current OMB funding policy does not support funding for projects below a Benefit-Cost ratio (BCR) of 2.5 (the City's project has BCR of 1.4). However, while appropriations are made for specific

projects, Congress typically provides the Corps with some "unassigned" appropriations with which it can choose projects to fund. Since the Corps expends significant effort to get projects through its process and to Congress, it has a strong interest in funding projects like the beach sand project proposed for San Clemente.

While the WRDA bill is awaiting approval, Corps staff expects to soon begin preparing a cost sharing agreement for the design phase of the project. This phase will include developing the details of the sand placement project, and also conducting preconstruction monitoring activities required during the earlier environmental permitting phase of the project. The design phase cost-sharing agreement will need to be approved by the City Council, but the timing is uncertain until the Corps confirms it has approved funds for the design phase.

Funding

The design phase is estimated at \$1 million, with the Corps responsible for 75% of the cost and the City 25%. The cost for the recommended project is estimated at \$11.3 million for the initial sand placement, with the Corps providing 65% of the cost. The total project cost for ongoing sand placement over the 50-year project life is estimated at \$99.1 million, with a 50-50 cost share. As noted previously the City is not committed to the entire 50-year project (i.e. the City could pursue the initial sand placement and then decide not to pursue additional sand replenishment). The following table summarizes the estimated design and construction costs and funding obligations:

Phase	Cost Share	Federal Share (millions)	City Share (millions)	Total (millions)
Design	75% Federal 25% City	\$0.75	\$0.25	\$1.0
Initial Construction	65% Federal 35% City	\$7.3	\$4.0	\$11.3
Ongoing Sand Replenishment	50% Federal 50% City	\$43.4	\$43.4	\$86.8
Total	•	\$51.45	\$47.65	\$99.1

If the Corps receives design phase funding and the City Council and Corps agree to continue into the design phase, the City would then be obligated to provide \$250,000 to the Corps for design work. There is approximately \$61,000 available in the City's project balance that could be applied toward the design phase cost. Additionally, the State Department of Boating and Waterways (DBW) has awarded a \$191,000 grant to the City under its Beach Erosion and Restoration program. This grant, combined with available City funds, would provide the City's total design phase contribution.

Initial construction of the beach nourishment project would also be eligible for DBW grant funds (up to 85% of the City's cost share obligation), subject to State funding availability which is not guaranteed. Unlike the City's funding contributions for the earlier feasibility study phase and potential design phase, which are paid over the

duration of each phase, the City's \$4 million payment for the initial construction would be due in full before the start of construction. Without significant DBW grant support, the City will need to identify other funding sources to meet its cost sharing obligation to proceed with the construction phase. The CAC previously requested to the City Manager that the City begin to explore potential funding opportunities. The recent 2014 Long Term Financial Plan discussed this issue in more detail, and the City Council directed staff to prioritize the restoration of the beaches in San Clemente and develop a comprehensive long-term strategy to address sand loss. The City Council also directed staff to review existing and future replenishment projects, investigate partnerships with other agencies for a regionalized approach, and actively locate and pursue funding opportunities.



Memorandum Engineering

Thursday, April 03, 2014

To: Coastal Advisory Committee (CAC)

From Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst Subject: Update on Plastic Bag Bans in California

This transmittal provides an update on single-use plastic grocery bag bans across California.

History of Plastic Bag Bans in California

Historically, California state law (AB 2449) preempted placing local fees on plastic bags. In an attempt to still regulate the distribution of single-use plastic grocery bags, some California Cities and Counties pursued plastic bag ordinances that typically banned thin plastic grocery bags and placed a charge on paper and sometimes reusable bags.

Several jurisdictions that adopted bag bans were sued, primarily by the Save the Plastic Bag Coalition. The lawsuits argued that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must be completed under CEQA as part of the process. The EIR phase was cost prohibitive to most jurisdictions.

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors and the City of San Jose decided to move forward with an EIR and adopted ordinances banning plastic bags and imposing a 10-cent charges on paper bags. The CA State Supreme Court eventually affirmed the City of Manhattan Beach's decision not to pursue an EIR. Since then, local agencies have had success against legal challenges and many California cities have been able to move forward with plastic bag bans.

Plastic Bag Bans across California

Currently there are 105 California Cities and Counties with single-use plastic grocery bag ordinances (See Attachment 1). This is over a 61% increase since the CAC last visited the subject in February 2013. According to the "Californians Against Waste" website (www.cawrecycles.org), bag bans cover one third of the state's population. The City of Palm Springs was the most recent jurisdiction to adopt a bag ordinance on April 3, 2014. The City of Chico will be the next and the first City in Butte County to adopt a ban. Sacramento will consider a ban later this year.

State Legislation

Senate Bill 270 (Padilla, de León, and Lara) would phase out single-use plastic grocery bags and place a ten cent minimum charge on paper and reusable bags statewide. The bill includes incentives for plastic bag manufacturers to transition into making reusable bags. Introduced on February 14, 2013, it passed the Senate on April 29, 2013 and is currently in the Assembly. It was last amended on March 27, 2014 and re-referred to the Standing Committee on Rules. A majority vote is required in the Assembly for the bill to be passed and signed into law. If passed, supermarkets and drugstores would be prohibited from distributing single-use plastic shopping bags on July 1, 2015 and other stores would be phased in after July 1, 2016. Municipalities that already adopted bans on plastic bags would be protected under a grandfathering clause.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Alameda County and City, Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Piedmont, Pleasanton, San Leandro, and Union City

The Alameda County Waste Management Authority (StopWaste.org) adopted its ordinance banning plastic bags and placing a 10 cent price requirement on paper and reusable bags in January of 2012. It went into effect on January 1, 2013 in unincorporated Alameda County as well as its 14 incorporated cities.

Arcata

The City Council adopted a plastic bag ban with a ten cent charge per paper bag. The ban goes into effect in all retail stores February 1, 2014, with the charge going into effect August 1, 2014.

Belmont

Belmont adopted the Reusable Bag Ordinance in January of 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Brisbane

The City adopted the San Mateo County's Reusable Bag Ordinance on March 18, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Burlingame

Burlingame adopted the San Mateo County's Reusable Bag Ordinance on March 18, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Calabasas

The Calabasas City Council unanimously adopted a plastic bag ban with a minimum ten cent price requirement on paper bags in February 2011.

Campbell

The City adopted the San Mateo County's Reusable Bag Ordinance on July 16, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 1/27/14.

Capitola

In January 2013, the city adopted a plastic bag ban with a 25 cent charge on paper bags in all retail stores. Effective April 2013.

Carmel-by-the-Sea

Carmel adopted a plastic bag ban in all retail stores on July 3, 2012. It became operative February 3, 2013.

Carpenteria

Carpenteria adopted the first double bag ban in the state on March 12, 2012. Starting July 2012, large retailers as specified prohibited from distributing single-use paper and plastic bags. Starting April 2013, plastic bags banned in all other retail stores.

Colma

Colma Town Council adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on January 9, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Culver City

On May 28, 2013, Culver City adopted a plastic bag ban and ten cent charge on paper bags in grocery, drug, and convenience stores. Effective 12/28/13.

Cupertino

Cupertino adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on March 5, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 10/1/13.

Daly City

The City adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in January 2013. Operative 4/22/13.

Dana Point

The City of Dana Point adopted a ban on single-use plastic bags from all retail stores within city limits on March 6, 2012. Effective in larger stores April 1, 2013, and all other stores October 1, 2013.

Davis

The Council adopted a plastic bag ban on November 12, 2013. Paper and reusable bags would be available for a small charge. Effective in all stores and restaurants on July 1, 2014.

Desert Hot Springs

Council adopted a plastic bag ban with ten cent paper bag charge on March 18, 2014. Effective in supermarket and drug stores by mid September 2014, and in all other stores six months later.

East Palo Alto

On April 2, 2013, the City of East Palo Alto adopted the San Mateo County's Reusable Bag Ordinance (ban on plastic bags and charge on paper/reusable bags in all retail stores). Effective October 2, 2013.

El Cerrito

On September 17, 2013, the City Council adopted a plastic bag ban with charge on paper/reusable bags in all retail stores. Operative January 1, 2014.

Fairfax

The Fairfax City Council adopted its ban on plastic bags August 2007. After a legal challenge by the plastics industry, Fairfax voters overwhelmingly adopted a plastic bag ban by initiative in November 2008.

Fort Bragg

Fort Bragg banned plastic bags and required a 10 cent paper bag charge in all retail stores. The ordinance was adopted May 14, 2012. Effective in large stores December 10, 2012, expanding to all other stores December 2013.

Foster City

Foster City adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on January 7, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Glendale

The City of Glendale adopted a bag ordinance in January of 2013. Similar to LA County's ordinance, it bans plastic bags and places a 10 cent charge on paper bags. Effective in larger stores and farmer's markets starting 7/1/13 and expanded to other covered stores 1/1/14.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Half Moon Bay

Half Moon Bay adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on March 5, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Huntington Beach

Huntington Beach adopted a plastic bag ban with a 10 cent charge per paper bag on April 1, 2013. Applies to all grocery, drug, and convenience stores starting November 1, 2013.

Laguna Beach

In February 2012, the Laguna Beach City Council unanimously adopted a plastic bag ban in all retail stores. Grocery stores, pharmacies, and convenience/liquor stores must include a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags distributed. Effective 1/1/13.

Long Beach

On May 17, 2011, the City of Long Beach passed a bag ordinance with a 5-0 vote. It banned single-use plastic bags and placed a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags. The ordinance was operative in larger stores starting August 2011, and expanded to others stores in 2012.

Los Altos

The City adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in March 2013. Operative 7/4/13.

Los Angeles City

In June 2013, the LA City Council adopted a bag ordinance banning single-use plastic bags and placing a 10 cent charge on paper bags. Effective for large supermarkets in January 2014, and expanded to drug stores, convenience stores, and other supermarkets in July 2014.

Los Angeles County

Los Angeles County adopted an ordinance banning single-use plastic bags and placing a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags in November 2010. The ordinance became effective for large supermarkets and retailers in July 2011, and expanded to other applicable stores in January of 2012 throughout unincorporated County areas.

Los Gatos

In September 2013, the Los Gatos Town Council adopted a ban ordinance based on the San Mateo County ordinance (ban on plastic bags, initial 10 cent charge per paper or reusable bags in all retail stores). Effective 2/3/14.

Malibu

The Malibu City Council voted in May 2008 to ban plastic bags.

Manhattan Beach

The Manhattan Beach City council voted in July 2008 to ban plastic bags. The CA Supreme Court overturned a legal challenge to the ordinance in July 2011. The council modified the ordinance in 2012 and again in 2014.

Marin County

Marin County Board of Supervisors voted unanimously in favor of an ordinance restricting the free distribution of single-use bags in January 2011, effective January 2012. Unincorporated County areas only.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Mendocino County

The County Board of Supervisors adopted a plastic bag ban with a ten cent paper bag charge on June 12, 2012. Effective in large stores in January 2013, and all other retailers in January 2014. Amendments to expand the ordinance to restaurants was adopted February 25, 2014, effective August 12, 2014. Unincorporated County areas only.

Menlo Park

Menlo Park adopted the Reusable Bag Ordinance (San Mateo County Ordinance) on January 22, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 4/22/13.

Mill Valley

The City adopted a bag ordinance on October 21, 2013. Plastic bag ban with five cent charge on paper/reusable bags in grocery, convenience, and drug stores.

Millbrae

On February 14, 2012, the Millbrae City Council adopted a plastic bag ban with a 10 cent requirement on paper bags. The ordinance went into effect on September 1, 2012 in all retail establishments, except for restaurants, non-profits, and dry-cleaners.

Monterey

The Monterey City Council unanimously passed an ordinance on December 6, 2011. The ordinance bans plastic bags and places an initial 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags for the first year (25 cents after).

Morgan Hill

On October 2, 2013, the Morgan Hill City Council adopted a plastic bag ban with an initial ten cent charge per paper bag. Effective 4/22/14.

Mountain View

In December 2012, the City of Mountain View adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance (San Mateo County Ordinance). Ban on plastic bags and charge on paper/reusable bags in all retail stores. Effective 4/22/13.

Novato

The City Council adopted a plastic bag ban and ten cent minimum charge per paper or reusable bag in March 2014. Effective in grocery, convenience, and drug stores.

Ojai

Ojai adopted a plastic bag ban on April 10, 2012. Grocery stores may distribute paper bags with 10 cent price requirement. Effective July 1, 2012 in all retail stores.

Pacifica

In December 2012, the City of Pacifica adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance (San Mateo County Ordinance). Ban on plastic bags and charge on paper/reusable bags in all retail stores. Effective 4/22/13.

Palm Springs

Council adopted a plastic bag ban with ten cent paper bag charge on April 2, 2014. Effective in supermarket and drug stores by November 2014, and in all other stores by May 2015.

Palo Alto

In 2013, the Palo Alto City Council adopted an expansion of a 2009 ordinance to include all stores and restaurants under its plastic bag ban. Paper and reusable bags would be available with a minimum charge. Previously, the ordinance only applied to plastic bags at large supermarkets.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Pasadena

In November of 2011, the Pasadena City Council unanimously adopted a plastic bag ban with a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags. Effective July 1, 2012 for large stores and supermarkets and December 2012 for convenience stores.

Pittsburg

On October 21, 2013, the Council adopted a bag ordinance, effective in all retail stores by 1/15/14. Plastic carryout bags are prohibited, and paper and reusable bags are allowed with a small charge.

Portola Valley

The Town adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in January 2013. Operative 4/22/13.

Redwood City

The City adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in March 2013. Operative 10/1/13.

Richmond

The City adopted the first bag ordinance in Contra Costa County on July 16, 2013. All retail stores will be prohibited from using single-use plastic carryout bags and may sell paper or reusable bags for a small charge. Effective 1/1/14.

San Bruno

The City adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in January 2013. Operative 4/22/13.

San Carlos

San Carlos adopted the San Mateo County model ordinance (plastic bag ban, charge on paper or reusables) in March 2013. Operative 7/1/13.

San Francisco

San Francisco became the first city in the nation to adopt a ban on plastic shopping bags in April 2007. In February of 2012, the Board of Supervisors voted to expand the ordinance to more stores.

San Jose

San Jose City Council voted and adopted a ban on single use plastic carryout bags in January 2011. The ban will extend to all retailers in the city, exempting restaurants and non-profit reuse organizations. It is effective January 1, 2012. For the first two years, paper bags will be sold under this ordinance at 10 cents each.

San Luis Obispo County and City, Arroyo Grande, Atascadero, Grover Beach, Morro Bay, Paso Robles, Pismo Beach

The San Luis Obispo County Integrated Waste Management Authority adopted a plastic bag ban with a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags in January of 2012. It went into effect on 10/1/12 in all seven incorporated cities as well as unincorporated areas of the county.

San Mateo City

The City adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on May 6, 2013. Effective June 6, 2013. Plastic bag ban with a 10 cent charge on paper and reusable bags (increasing to 25 cents on 1/1/2015).

San Mateo County

The Board of Supervisors adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance on November 6, 2012. Effective 4/22/13 in unincorporated County areas. Plastic bag ban with a 10 cent charge on paper and reusable bags (increasing to 25 cents on 1/1/2015).

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

San Pablo

The City adopted a plastic bag ban and 5 cent charge per paper or reusable bag (to increase to 10 cents after two years) on October 8, 2013. Effective 1/1/14 in all retail stores.

San Rafael

City council adopted a plastic bag ban with 10 cent charge per paper or reusable bag on 3/3/14. Effective in grocery, drug, and convenience stores starting 9/15/14.

Santa Barbara City

A plastic bag ban and ten cent paper bag charge was adopted on October 15, 2013. Effective in supermarkets and drugstores in mid April 2014, and in convenience stores by 10/15/14.

Santa Clara County

On April 26, 2011, the County Board of Supervisors voted in favor of a single-use bag ordinance. The ordinance bans single-use plastic bags and places a 15 cent minimum price requirement on single-use paper bags throughout unincorporated county areas. It became effective January 1, 2012.

Santa Cruz City

The City Council adopted a plastic bag ban and 10 cent paper bag charge on July 24. Effective in all retail stores starting April 2013.

Santa Cruz County

On September 13, 2011, the County Board of Supervisors voted unanimously in favor of a single-use bag ordinance. It bans single-use plastic bags and places a 10 cent minimum price requirement on single-use paper bags throughout unincorporated county areas. Effective March 20, 2012. An expansion of the plastic bag ban to restaurants was adopted in October 2012 and went into effect April 22, 2013.

Santa Monica

The Santa Monica City Council unanimously voted for a ban on single-use plastic bags and a cost pass-through requirement per recyclable paper bag in January 2011. Visit their website for more information.

Sausalito

The City Council adopted a plastic bag ban and ten cent minimum charge per paper or reusable bag in March 2014. Effective in grocery, convenience, and drug stores.

Solana Beach

On May 9, 2012, Solana Beach became the first city in San Diego County to adopt a plastic bag ban. Paper bags can be purchased for ten cents each under the ordinance.

Sonoma County Waste Management Agency (Sonoma City and County, Cloverdale, Cotati, Healdsburg, Petaluma, Rohnert Park, Sebastopol, Windsor)

The Sonoma County Waste Management Agency adopted a plastic grocery bag ban on February 19, 2014. Effective in all retail stores starting September 1, 2014. Paper grocery bags may be purchased for ten cents each.

South Lake Tahoe

On October 15, 2013, the City Council adopted a plastic bag ban in all retail stores and restaurants. First effective on 1/15/14, and effective in all stores by 10/15/14.

South San Francisco

In December 2012, the City of South San Francisco adopted a Reusable Bag Ordinance (San Mateo County Ordinance). Ban on plastic bags and charge on paper/reusable bags in all retail stores. Effective 4/22/13.

California Single-Use Plastic Grocery Bag Ordinances

Source: www.cawrecycles.org as of April 4, 2014

Sunnyvale

Sunnyvale passed a bag ordinance in December 2011. The ordinance bans single-use plastic bags and places a 10 cent minimum price requirement on paper bags and reusable bags which later increases. Starting June 20, 2012 it covers grocery and convenience stores and large retailers. Covers all retailers by March 2013.

Truckee

The Town of Truckee adopted an ordinance banning single-use plastic bags on November 25, 2013. Effective in all retail stores June 1, 2014. Paper and reusable bags can be sold for ten cents each.

Ukiah

City Council adopted an ordinance on May 2, 2012 banning plastic bags and placing a 10 cent charge on paper bags in all retail stores. The ban is operative starting in large stores within six months of adoption.

Walnut Creek

In March of 2014, the Council adopted a plastic bag ban and 10 cent minimum paper bag charge in all retail stores and restaurants.

Watsonville

On May 8, 2012, Watsonville became the first city in Santa Cruz County to ban plastic bags in all retail stores. An initial 10 cent charge on paper bags is mandated to increase to 25 cents after the first year.

West Hollywood

City Council adopted a plastic bag ban with 10 cent paper charge on August 20, 2012. Effective in large stores in six months, all other retail stores in one year.



COUNTY OF ORANGE HEALTH CARE AGENCY/ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SOCWA (Doheny Outfall) Ocean Bacteriological Monitoring Program

Beach Name	Lab	StationID	Location	Туре	2/5/2014	2/11/2014	2/13/2014	2/19/2014	2/25/2014	3/5/2014
San Clemente	EΗ	S-15	Poche Beach	Enterococcus			<2			
San Clemente	EH	S-15	Poche Beach	Fecal Coliforms			<10			
San Clemente	EH	S-15	Poche Beach	Total Coliforms			<10			
San Clemente	RA	S-15	Poche Beach	Enterococcus	<10	=140		=80	<10	=20
San Clemente	RA	S-15	Poche Beach	Fecal Coliforms	<10	=120		<10	<10	=20
San Clemente	RA	S-15	Poche Beach	Total Coliforms	<10	=220		=10	=60	=130
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-17	North Beach	Enterococcus	=180	=30		=10	<2	<10
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-17	North Beach	Fecal Coliforms	=1100	=60		=5	<2	=10
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-17	North Beach	Total Coliforms	=1050	=80		<10	<2	=70
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-19	450' North of Pier	Enterococcus	=30	<10		<10	=6	<10
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-19	450' North of Pier	Fecal Coliforms	=50	=20		=10	>400	<10
San Clemente City Beach	RA	S-19	450' North of Pier	Total Coliforms	=50	=220		=10	=8	=20
San Clemente State Beach	RA	S-21	Avenida Calafia	Enterococcus	<2	=20		<10	<2	<10
San Clemente State Beach	RA	S-21	Avenida Calafia	Fecal Coliforms	<2	=40		<10	<2	=10
San Clemente State Beach	RA	S-21	Avenida Calafia	Total Coliforms	<2	=220		<10	=2	=20
San Clemente State Beach	RA	S-23	Las Palmeras	Enterococcus	<2	=20		<10	<2	<10
San Clemente State Beach	RA	S-23	Las Palmeras	Fecal Coliforms	<2	=20		<10	=2	<10
San Clemente State Beach	_		Las Palmeras	Total Coliforms	<2	=20		<10	=2	=50

AB411 Single Sample Standards						
Total Coliforms	10,000					
Fecal Coliforms	400					
Enterococci	104					
Fecal to Total Coliform ratio	1,000 total coliform,					
	if ratio exceeds 0.1					
AB411 30-Day Geometri	c Mean Standards					
Total Coliforms	1,000					
Fecal Coliforms	200					
Enterococci	35					

All values expressed in cfu/100 ml.



CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE • ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS MARCH 2014 CLEAN OCEAN PROGRAM UPDATE

7.B.

Meetings

3/11	Watershed Task Force Meeting	(D. McIntosh)
3/13	Coastal Adviosry Committee Meeting	(M. Vondak)
3/17	South County Recycling Coordinators Meeting	(D. McIntosh)
3/17	CalRecycle State Visit/tour	(D. McIntosh)
3/25	Poche Coordination Meeting	(M.Vondrak, T. Bonigut)
3/26	Tri-City Water Savers Meeting	(M.Vondrak, A. Kanzler)

Public Outreach

	OU		

3/8	E-waste Event at SC Presbyterian Church	(D. McIntosh)
3/22	CA Friendly G3 Landscape Class	(M.Vondrak, A. Kanzler)
3/27	Children's Water Festival	(M. Vondak)
3/29	Shredding Event	(D. McIntosh)
3/30	Panhe - A Celebration of Protection and Preservation	(D. McIntosh)

PRINT

March City of San Clemente Utility Billing Message, "Free Shredding Event" 3/29/14

3/13 San Clemente Times ad, "Get Rebares to Switch out Turf for California Friendly Landscape"
3/27 San Clemente Times ad, "Get Rebares to Switch out Turf for California Friendly Landscape"

3/6,13,20 San Clemente Times ad, "Free Shredding Event"

INTERNET

March SC Sustainability March Newsletter

3/1-29 City of San Clemente www.san-clemente.org- "Free Shredding Event" 3/29/14

3/1-31 City of San Clemente www.san-clemenete.org- "Remember, Only Rain Down the Drain"

3/1-31 SC Times ad, "OverwateringisOut.org

TELEVISION/MEDIA EXPOSURE

3/3,10,17,24,31 Community Calendar, Cox Ch. 30 - Universal Waste Collection Service 3/3,10,17,24,31 Community Calendar, Cox Ch. 30 - What To Do If You See A Spill Cox Channel 30/854 - Curiosity Quest - videos various episodes

March Cox Channel 30/854 - Trash in the Pacific with Captain Charles Moore video

March Cox Channel 30/854 - Around Town Prima Deshecha Watershed Tour

On going PSA- Public Service Announcement on Cox Ch. 30, "The Ocean Begins at Your Front Door - Litter"
On going PSA- Public Service Announcement on Cox Ch. 30, "The Ocean Begins at Your Front Door - General"

Staff Training

3/12 Southern California Waste Management Forum (D. McIntosh)
3/26 Driver Awareness Training (B. Hoffenberg, D. McIntosh, M. Vondrak)

Storm Drain Maintenance Program - January

Catch Basins Cleaned 237 Storm Drain Lines Inspected 9440 ft.

Storm Drain Maintenance Program - February

Catch Basins Cleaned 175 Storm Drain Lines Inspected 230 ft.

Storm Drain Maintenance Program - March

Catch Basins Cleaned 173 Storm Drain Lines Inspected 1104 ft.

Street Sweeping Program - January

Material Collected/Recycled: 79,320 lbs. debris (39.66 tons)

of Parking Citations Issued: 551

Street Sweeping Program - February

Material Collected/Recycled: 54,080 lbs. debris (27.04 tons)

of Parking Citations Issued: 457

Water Quality Code Compliance

Verbal Warnings	2
Notices of Non-Compliance	2
Administrative Citations	3
Stop Work	0

Water Quality Inspections

Commercial	31
Construction	75
Municipal Inspection	4
Safety Inspection	20
Styrofoam	23

Water Quality Administrative Hearings

Administrative Citation Hearings 2

Recycling & Solid Waste Program

Red Tag Violation Warnings	177
Waste Management Plans	56
Complaints and Calls Received	218
Abandoned Bulky Item Requests	144

Attachments

January	City of San Clemente 2014 Solid Waste & Recycling Tons Summary January Report
March	City of San Clemente Environmental Code Enforcement Action March 2014 Reports
N / I-	City of Care Clare anta Heller Dilling Managers Franchis a Francis 2/00/44

March City of San Clemente Utility Billing Message, "Free Shredding Event" 3/29/14

3/13 San Clemente Times ad, "Get Rebares to Switch out Turf for California Friendly Landscape"
3/27 San Clemente Times ad, "Get Rebares to Switch out Turf for California Friendly Landscape"

3/6,13,20 San Clemente Times ad, "Free Shredding Event"

CRSR

CITY OF SAN CLEMENTE 2013-2014 SOLID WASTE & RECYCLING TONS SUMMARY REPORT JANUARY 2014

RESIDENTIAL

				7000			
MONTH	CURBSIDE DIVERTED	CURBSIDE LANDFILLED	CURBSIDE DIVERSION %	MULTI FAM DIVERTED	MULTI FAM LANDFILLED	MULTI FAM DIVERSION %	TOTAL RESI DIVERSION %
Jan-13	1,196.08	1,661.59	41.86%	134.39	127.61	51.29%	42.65%
Feb-13	1,009.00	1,378.71	42.26%	125.54	119.20	51.30%	43.10%
Mar-13	1,197.88	1,509.99	44.24%	159.77	151.71	51.29%	44.96%
Apr-13	1,301.29	1,618.24	44.57%	180.09	171.01	51.29%	45.29%
May-13	1,398.18	1,695.40	45.20%	152.98	145.27	51.29%	45.73%
Jun-13	1,280.88	1,570.60	44.92%	151.42	143.79	51.29%	45.52%
Jul-13	1,506.15	1,819.83	45.28%	167.59	159.16	51.29%	45.82%
Aug-13	1,316.62	1,666.39	44.14%	182.03	172.85	51.29%	44.90%
Sep-13	1,252.34	1,561.68	44.50%	147.28	139.86	51.29%	45.13%
Oct-13	1,262.64	1,609.30	43.96%	153.31	145.59	51.29%	44.66%
Nov-13	1,116.22	1,519.91	42.34%	142.33	135.15	51.29%	43.20%
Dec-13	1,118.11	1,629.63	40.69%	148.39	140.91	51.29%	41.70%
Jan-14	1,227.47	1,664.62	42.44%	138.33	131.35	51.29%	43.20%
2014 YTD TOTAL	1,227.47	1,664.62	42.44%	138.33	131.35	51.29%	43.20%

COMMERCIAL

	2030									
MONTH	COMMERCIAL DIVERTED	COMMERCIAL ROLL OFF DIVERTED	PUBLIC WORKS	BIO SOLIDS	SELF HAUL GREENWASTE	TOTAL COMMERCIAL DIVERTED	COM DIRECT LANDFILLED	COMM ROLL OFF RESIDUE/DIRECT LANDFILLED	TOTAL COMMERCIAL LANDFILLED	TOTAL COM DIVERSION %
Jan-13	112.97	189.22	33.94	354.16	175.51	865.80	938.67	119.97	1,058.64	44.99%
Feb-13	104.38	135.68	42.53	285.91	175.51	744.01	896.29	100.10	996.39	42.75%
Mar-13	113.83	189.94	83.94	303.05	175.51	866.27	982.94	99.67	1,082.61	44.45%
Apr-13	115.06	215.43	187.81	285.49	266.07	1,069.86	965.67	94.43	1,060.10	50.23%
May-13	124.13	195.01	154.42	348.66	266.07	1,088.29	1,017.38	108.13	1,125.51	49.16%
Jun-13	114.87	236.31	93.36	331.83	266.07	1,042.44	960.56	96.90	1,057.46	49.64%
Jul-13	118.80	198.86	130.24	328.37	337.00	1,113.27	1,087.43	109.27	1,196.70	48.19%
Aug-13	122.97	257.76	61.94	335.62	337.00	1,115.29	1,049.26	106.83	1,156.09	49.10%
Sep-13	110.93	299.44	88.45	307.94	337.00	1,143.76	955.54	71.00	1,026.54	52.70%
Oct-13	118.70	296.18	60.77	326.05	0.00	801.70	1,002.93	99.56	1,102.49	42.10%
Nov-13	108.28	265.16	33.38	299.65	0.00	706.47	931.55	74.60	1,006.15	41.25%
Dec-13	100.89	308.07	16.56	349.26	0.00	774.78	910.20	72.00	982.20	44.10%
Jan-14	107.44	304.71	33.03	316.30	0.00	761.48	954.47	74.94	1,029.41	42.52%
2014 YTD TOTAL	107.44	304.71	33.03	316.30	0.00	761.48	954.47	74.94	1,029.41	42.52%
		INCLUDES MRF DIVERTED & SOURCE SEPARATED								

C&D PROCESSING PRIMA SELF HAUL

	4060		4060		4060	_		_	_	
MONTH	CR&R SJC MRF DIVERTED	CR&R SJC MRF LANDFILLED	CRT STANTON DIVERTED	CRT STANTON LANDFILLED	SOURCE SEPARATED C&D DIVERTED	ROLL OFF LANDFILLED	TOTAL C&D DIVERSION %	PRIMA SELF HAUL DIVERTED	PRIMA SELF HAUL LANDFILLED	TOTAL PRIMA DIVERSION %
Jan-13	259.52	90.25	0.00	0.00	131.38	0.00	81.24%	487.93	117.97	80.53%
Feb-13	144.78	63.06	0.00	0.00	99.14	0.00	79.46%	559.06	134.05	80.66%
Mar-13	230.96	74.29	0.00	0.00	142.00	0.00	83.39%	753.86	180.06	80.72%
Apr-13	273.53	90.17	0.00	0.00	141.97	0.00	82.17%	619.85	150.44	80.47%
May-13	160.57	65.33	0.00	0.00	138.55	0.00	82.07%	600.88	143.33	80.74%
Jun-13	185.93	73.53	0.00	0.00	22.10	2.14	73.33%	635.24	154.07	80.48%
Jul-13	262.50	105.65	0.00	0.00	143.58	5.50	78.51%	700.49	164.74	80.96%
Aug-13	345.28	107.58	0.00	0.00	90.81	8.59	78.96%	807.93	198.58	80.27%
Sep-13	232.63	81.31	0.00	0.00	107.10	0.00	80.69%	696.42	167.19	80.64%
Oct-13	315.50	84.28	0.00	0.00	257.50	0.00	87.18%	708.79	171.37	80.53%
Nov-13	172.12	64.57	0.00	0.00	157.42	0.00	83.62%	709.44	168.80	80.78%
Dec-13	197.54	67.18	0.00	0.00	173.65	0.00	84.68%	693.45	169.05	80.40%
Jan-14	205.02	75.62	5.37	0.63	178.61	0.00	83.61%	674.77	167.43	80.12%
2014 YTD TOTAL	205.02	75.62	5.37	0.63	178.61	0.00	83.61%	674.77	167.43	80.12%

OVERALL TOTAL

	TOTAL	TOTAL	OVERALL
MONTH	RECYCLED	LANDFILLED	DIVERSION
Jan-13	3,081.83	3,056.06	50.21%
Feb-13	2,688.25	2,691.41	49.97%
Mar-13	3,365.43	2,998.66	52.88%
Apr-13	3,606.69	3,089.96	53.86%
May-13	3,559.99	3,174.84	52.86%
Jun-13	3,336.22	3,001.59	52.64%
Jul-13	3,913.56	3,451.58	53.14%
Aug-13	3,879.13	3,310.08	53.96%
Sep-13	3,600.54	2,976.58	54.74%
Oct-13	3,519.53	3,113.03	53.06%
Nov-13	3,021.93	2,894.58	51.08%
Dec-13	3,125.73	2,988.97	51.12%
Jan-14	3,202.85	3,069.06	51.07%
12 MO TOTAL	40,819.85	36,760.34	52.62%
2014 YTD TOTAL	3,202.85	3,069.06	51.07%

3040 FOOD WASTE
PROGRAM
6.73
6.72
14.69
20.10
20.54
18.21
19.98
21.17
21.01
20.09
17.93
19.81
11.80
212.05
11.80
11.80

THIS REPORT REFLECTS THE MOST UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION FOR ALL MONTHS LISTED.

Page 1 of 2 4/4/2014 City of San Clemente

Chronology by Officer Case Type and Action Type

For the Period 3/1/2014 thru 3/31/2014

Parcel No.

690-431-24

Case No. Case Name **Site Address** Watershed

Date Opened Case Type

Sub-Type Status

ENV-BMPS

10:23:43AM

ENV2014-0028 15287

M00 3/11/2014 **ENVIRONMENTAL** 221 E Avenida Ramona

Date Action Type Action Notes

ENV-BMPS

3/11/2014 NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE (3/12/2014 10:53:19 AM BH) Action Created

1 Sub Total **ENV-BMPS**

COMPLAINT TAKEN

ENV-INORGANIC COMP

ENV2014-0026 15263

3/7/2014 **ENVIRONMENTAL** 229 W Escalones M00 COMPLAINT TAKEN ENV-INORGANIC COMP 692-083-12

Action Notes Date Action Type

3/7/2014 VERBAL WARNING (3/12/2014 8:45:10 AM JT) Action Created

> (3/12/2014 8:45:10 AM JT) They were advised that the Vactor crew would clean the problem area and back charge the owner, Graham for

the clean up.

Action Notes

Sub Total **ENV-INORGANIC COMP** 1

ENV-SEDIMENT

Date

ENV2014-0023 15283

3/4/2014 **ENVIRONMENTAL** XXX Los Mares/Calle Nuevo M01

COMPLAINT TAKEN **ENV-SEDIMENT** Action Type

3/4/2014 \$500 ADMIN CITATION ISSUED (3/4/2014 9:07:29 AM BH) Action Created

(3/4/2014 9:07:29 AM BH)

Advised to discontinue all prohibited discharges to the MS4.

3/4/2014 \$500 ADMIN CITATION ISSUED (3/4/2014 9:11:32 AM BH) Action Created

Advised to implement proper required sediment control BMPs by

3/12/14 (1 week)

3/4/2014 \$500 ADMIN CITATION ISSUED (3/4/2014 9:11:46 AM BH) Action Created

Advised to service/maintain all structural treatment control BMPs by

3/12/14 (1 week)

ENV2014-0025 15285

3/10/2014 107 Calle Bonito M02 **ENVIRONMENTAL**

COMPLAINT TAKEN **ENV-SEDIMENT** 057-162-88 Date Action Type Action Notes

3/10/2014 NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE (3/11/2014 2:50:10 PM BH) Action Created

(3/11/2014 2:50:10 PM BH) Advised to: 1) Discontinue all prohibited

discharges to the MS4 2) Implement proper required BMPs

Sub Total **ENV-SEDIMENT**

ENV-TRASH AND DEBRIS

4/4/2014 City of San Clemente Page 2 of 2

Chronology by Officer Case Type and Action Type

For the Period 3/1/2014 thru 3/31/2014

Case No. Case Name Site Address Watershed

Date Opened Case Type Parcel No. Status Sub-Type

ENV-TRASH AND DEBRIS

ENV2014-0024 Verbal

10:23:43AM

3/4/2014 ENVIRONMENTAL 605 Avenida Los Flores M00

Date Action Type Action Notes

Due Action Type Action Twies

3/4/2014 VERBAL WARNING (3/5/2014 7:53:36 AM JT) Action Created

 $(3/5/2014\ 7:53:36\ AM\ JT)$ They were asked to remove all trash from

public view.

692-102-35

1 Sub Total ENV-TRASH AND DEBRIS

7 Total of ALL Actions

COMPLAINT TAKEN





Memorandum Engineering Division

Thursday, April 3, 2014

To:

Coastal Advisory Committee

From:

Mary Vondrak, Senior Management Analyst

Subject:

Potential Future Agenda Items

The following is a list of potential topics that the CAC is considering for future meetings, based on input from CAC members during prior meetings.

<u>May</u>

- 1. The Road to Local Coastal Program Certification (tentative)
- 2. Poche Action Plan Update (tentative)

<u>June</u>

- 1. Information on Stingrays (tentative)
- 2. City NPDES Stormwater Audit Update (tentative)

<u>July</u>

1. To be determined